## Resolving the growth of the ICM at z > 1.2 with MUSTANG-2 and

#### **ReCESS: the Resolved Cluster Evolution Sunyaev-Zeldovich Survey**

#### Joshiwa van Marrewijk,

Allegro postdoc, This work is done in collaboration with ACT, Luca Di Mascolo & Tony Mroczkowski







### How does really hot, lowdensity gas form? **ReCESS: the Resolved Cluster Evolution Sunyaev-Zeldovich Survey**

#### Joshiwa van Marrewijk,

Allegro postdoc, This work is done in collaboration with ACT, Luca Di Mascolo & Tony Mroczkowski









#### feedback from active galactic nuclei

#### Thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (tSZ effect)

merging substructures intergalactic medium filament

cosmic microwave cosmic services

Comptonie photonie onseq

#### merger-driven shocks

small-scale perturbations

> intracluster medium





ACT-CL J1407.0+1048 (Pl: Di Mascolo)

circumgalactic medium

accretion shocks

feedback from active galactic nuclei

#### Thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (tSZ effect)

merging substructures intergalactic medium filament

cosmic microwave cosmic control

Comptonie photonie onseq

#### merger-driven shocks

small-scale perturbations

> intracluster medium





## SUNYAEV-ZELDOVICH EFFECT



HOT CLUSTER GAS

ENERGETIC ELECTRON

## SUNYAEV-ZELDOVICH EFFECT



FIG. 2. The scattering of isotropic radiation field by the cloud of electrons.



#### How to use the SZ effect for Cosmology:

**Total integrated** flux



#### YU, NELSON, & NAGAI



#### How many haloes are there per halo mass?









 $\sigma_8 = [0.7, 0.8, 0.9]$ 

M<sub>200, m</sub>

































## Study dynamical state and morphology:







## Study dynamical state and morphology:





#### ReCESS, the Resolved Cluster Evolution Sunyaev-Zeldovich Survey





#### ReCESS, the Resolved Cluster Evolution Sunyaev-Zeldovich Survey $\approx 200$ hours of observing time with ALMA and the GBT

ReCESS (this work) 1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00 Redshift (z)





#### ReCESS, the Resolved Cluster Evolution **Sunyaev-Zeldovich Survey** $\approx 200$ hours of observing time with ALMA and the GBT

ReCESS (this work) REXCESS+10 CHEX-MATE+21 McDonald+13 1.00 1.25 1.75 2.00 1.50











## selection of the full sample





# The full Sample So far...



# The full So far...

Radio

- MeerKAT
- LoTSS DR3



### The ful sampe So far...

Radio 

- MeerKAT
- LoTSS DR3
- X-ray (Chandra/XMM-Newton)





### ne fu sample So far...

Radio

- MeerKAT
- LoTSS DR3
- X-ray (Chandra/XMM-Newton)

What I will focus on for the remainder of this talk



## RECESS

van Marrewijk et al. (in prep.)

#### How does really hot gas form in the Universe?

- Gravitationally
- Mechanical Feedback









Dec (J2000)

#### Imaged Residuals





### The visibility plane







### **XLSSC 122: Pressure profiles**



Image plane variant



## Joint modelling of interferometric & single dish observations





#### Adding additional constraining power



![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

		E
		F
	-	
		E
		E
- 1	$\mathbf{O}$	
<u> </u>		F
		C

#### What does the cluster look like?

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### O Cluster Members

Contours are drawn at [-4.5, -3.5, -3.5, -1.5, 0, 1.5, 2.5, 3.5]- $\sigma$ 

## **A model reconstruction**

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Searching for asymmetries:

Likelihood-weighted model ③ Synthesised beam + Residuals

**Cleaned image reconstruction** 

Contours are drawn at [-10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 2, 4]- $\sigma$ 

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### A 2-component likelihoodweighted mode reconstruction

• Equivalent to a  $2.1\sigma - 3.6\sigma$ detection!

A flux ratio 1:2 

Contours are drawn at [-10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 2, 4]- $\sigma$ 

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### We need multi-wavelength information

Optical

46'00"

Dec [J2000]

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### We need multi-wavelength information

 Optical Dec [J2000] *Hα*

46'00"

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### We need multi-wavelength information

 Optical Dec [J2000] *Hα* • SZ

46'00"

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### We need multi-wavelength information

 Optical • *H*α • SZ X-ray

Dec [J2000]

46'00"

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### An X-ray + SZ view

•  $\propto SZ_{flux}/\sqrt{SZ_{X-ray}} \propto k_bT$ 

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

## CL-J0459, the highest-z in SPT

 $\propto SZ_{flux} / \sqrt{SZ_{X-ray}} \propto k_b T$ 

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

Contours are drawn at [-10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 2, 4]- $\sigma$ 

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

0.0008	3
0.000	7
0.0006	5
0.0005	5
0.0004	1
0.0003	3
0.0002	2
0.0002	1
0.0000	)

![](_page_45_Figure_8.jpeg)

## CL-J0459, the highest-z in SPT Dec [J2000]

 $\propto SZ_{flux} / \sqrt{SZ_{X-ray}} \propto k_b T$ 

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

-49°46'20' 40" 47'00' 20" 40'

Dec [J2000]

#### Contours are drawn at [-10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 2, 4]- $\sigma$

![](_page_46_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_9.jpeg)

 $\square 0$ 

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 5. As Fig. 3, but for the deprojection analysis in Section 4.3, showing the posteriors for the temperature and metallicity of two spherical shells. The cluster redshift is marginalized over, although we do not include it in the right-hand panel; constraints are similar to those in Fig. 3. The 'Fe = 0' and 'Fe free' models in the left-hand panel refer to the metallicity in the outer shell. The metallicity of the inner shell is free in both cases, and PSF mixing thus produces an emission line feature in the outer annulus even for the 'Fe = 0' case. The data and models for the inner annulus are scaled by a factor of 0.5 in the left-hand panel for clarity.

#### Contours are drawn at [-10, -8, -6, -4, -2, 2, 4]- $\sigma$

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $\square$  0

## RECESS

van Marrewijk et al. (in prep.)

#### How does really hot gas form in the Universe?

- Gravitationally
- Mechanical Feedback

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

# $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mechanical} \\ \textbf{feedback at} \\ z = 1.3 \end{array}$

# $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mechanical}\\ \textbf{feedback at}\\ z = 1.3 \end{array}$

MeerKAT & LoTSS DR3

- Legacy Survey DR10
- Mustang-2 contours

Dec [J2000]

00"

15'30"

6°17'00"

16'30"

00"

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Mechanical feedback at z = 1.3

6°17'00"

16'30"

- MeerKAT & LoTSS DR3
- Legacy Survey DR10
- Mustang-2 contours

00"

[J2000]

Dec

15'30"

00"

## \_\_\_\_\_8 $9^{h}30^{m}18^{s}$ 15<sup>s</sup> 12<sup>s</sup> RA [J2000]

![](_page_51_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_12.jpeg)

# $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Mechanical}\\ \textbf{feedback at}\\ z = 1.3 \end{array}$

 $\propto SZ_{flux} / \sqrt{SZ_{X-ray}} \propto k_b T$ 

6°17'0<u>0</u>"

16'30"

00"

[]2000]

Dec

15'30"

00"

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_8.jpeg)

## To recap, Three takeaways

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### To recap, Three takeaways

CMB surveys are perfect for selecting high-z 1. clusters.

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### lo recap, Three takeaways

- CMB surveys are perfect for selecting high-z 1. clusters.
- Example cases illustrate large halo-to-halo 2. variance in the thermodynamic properties of forming clusters (I haven't gotten to study population averages yet).

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### lo recap, Three takeaways

- CMB surveys are perfect for selecting high-z 1. clusters.
- Example cases illustrate large halo-to-halo 2. variance in the thermodynamic properties of forming clusters (I haven't gotten to study population averages yet).
- 3. On the technical side,
  - Try to think in terms of 2 or 3D 1. distributions instead of the classical 1D radial profile variant.
  - Be careful of missing flux when using  $\cap$ Ζ. interferometers

![](_page_56_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### lo recap, Three takeaways

- CMB surveys are perfect for selecting high-z 1. clusters.
- Example cases illustrate large halo-to-halo 2. variance in the thermodynamic properties of forming clusters (I haven't gotten to study population averages yet).
- On the technical side, 3.
  - Try to think in terms of 2 or 3D 1. distributions instead of the classical 1D radial profile variant.
  - 2. Be careful of missing flux when using interferometers
- There is much much more to come... 4.

![](_page_57_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Future surveys will go much much deeper!

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

**CC-0958** 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 Redshift (z)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)